



New Braunfels Independent School District

2017 Facilities Planning Committee Meeting Minutes

DATE: May 30, 2017

LOCATION: NBISD Boardroom, 430 W. Mill St.

Present:

Dana Castilleja, Leigh Ann Dees, Jennifer Faulkner, Bryon Gardner, Ashli Goodspeed, Gina Jones, Christie Lawson, Shelly Malatek, George Ortiz, Jason Ragsdale, and Danielle Taylor.

Absent:

Heather Andrus, Joe Castilleja, J Lee Deardorff, Brandon Dietert, Kimberley Dunbar, Kristen Fain, Stephanie Ferguson, Keith Fontenot, Courtney Lyons-Garcia, Brian Gibson, Shaun Gibson, Elaine Lang, Sandy Littlejohn, Brandon Mills, Elizabeth Mullins, Faride Nasser, Valerie Rodriguez, Wes Stamps, Kathy Stockstill, Jim Streety, Molly Waldrip, Brad Wehring and Bryan Woods.

NBISD Staff and Board Members in Attendance: Vickie Pursch, Kathy Kenney, Sherry Harrison, Kara Bock, Randy Moczygamba, Rebecca Villarreal, Steve Brown and Jerry Clark

Member Resignations: Sammy Cervantes (as of May 30, 2017) and Michael Calta (as of May 15, 2017)

Called to order at 6:15 p.m.

Director of Communications Rebecca Villarreal presented the survey results for the 2017 Community Survey Regarding NBISD High School Facilities that was administered online May 5-19, 2017.

Survey Overview:

- Survey opened May 5-19, 2017
- Invites emailed to all parents and staff
- Notifications sent to local media, posted online, emailed and shared with committee to post on social media
- Resulted in 1,203 responses
- Received 263 comments

Question #1 – Summary

“Select the stakeholder group you most identify with?”

- 8169 (68.1%) are a “Parent/Guardian”
- 286 (23.8%) are “NBISD employees”
- 64 (5.3%) are “Residents of NBISD”
- 29 (2.4%) are “NBISD Students”
- 5 (0.4%) respondents are “Other”

Question #2 – Summary

“What campus level does your student(s) currently attend?”

- 534 (44.4%) are “Elementary” parents
- 420 (34.9%) are “Middle School” parents
- 363 (30.2%) are “High School” parents
- 173 (14.4%) responded “Not Applicable”
- 171 (14.2%) are “Ninth Grade Center” parents

- 44 (3.7%) are “Pre-Kindergarten” parents

Question #3 – Summary

“How long have you lived in NBISD?”

- 350 (29.1%) “10-20 Years”
- 320 (26.6%) “More than 20 Years”
- 296 (24.6%) “Less than 5 Years”
- 237 (19.7%) “5-10 Years”

Question #4 – Part A Summary

“To have the same level of extracurricular and academic offerings that are currently available.”

- 641 (53.3%) responded “Very Important”
- 398 (33.1%) responded “Important”
- 99 (8.2%) responded “Somewhat Important”
- 45 (3.7%) responded “Not Important”
- 20 (1.7%) responded “Neutral”

Question #4 – Part B Summary

“To have more extracurricular and academic offerings that are currently available.”

- 715 (59.4%) responded “Very Important”
- 272 (22.6%) responded “Important”
- 119 (9.9%) responded “Somewhat Important”
- 55 (4.6%) responded “Not Important”
- 42 (3.5%) responded “Neutral”

Question #4 – Part C Summary

“To have a high school magnet program.”

- 317 (26.4%) responded “Very Important”
- 307 (25.5%) responded “Important”
- 258 (21.4%) responded “Somewhat Important”
- 190 (15.8%) responded “Not Important”
- 131 (10.9%) responded “Neutral”

Question #5 – Part A Summary

“Please rank your preference for the Grade-Level Configuration of a High School.”

A Ninth Grade Center with a separate 10th-12th campus:

- 555 (46.1%) responded as their “2nd” choice
- 337 (28%) responded as their “3rd” choice
- 311 (25.9%) responded as their “1st” choice

Question #5 – Part B Summary

“Please rank your preference for the Grade-Level Configuration of a High School.”

9th & 10th grade campus with a separate 11th-12th campus:

- 524 (43.6%) responded as their “3rd” choice
- 467 (38.8%) responded as their “2nd” choice
- 212 (17.6%) responded as their “1st” choice

Question #5 – Part C Summary

“Please rank your preference for the Grade-Level Configuration of a High School.”

9th-12th grade high school:

- 680 (56.5%) responded as their “1st” choice

- 342 (28.4%) responded as their “3rd” choice
- 181 (15.1%) responded as their “2nd” choice

Question #6 – Part A Summary

“Please rank your preference for the Enrollment Size of a High School.”

Having a school with 2,000 or less:

- 806 (67%) responded as their “1st” choice
- 211 (17.5) responded as their “2nd” choice
- 186 (15.5) responded as their “3rd” choice

Question #6 – Part B Summary

“Please rank your preference for the Enrollment Size of a High School.”

Having a school with 2,000 to 2,500 students:

- 898 (74.6%) responded as their “2nd” choice
- 276 (23%) responded as their “1st” choice
- 29 (2.4%) responded as their “3rd” choice

Question #6 – Part C Summary

“Please rank your preference for the Enrollment Size of a High School.”

Having a school with 2,500 to 3,000 students:

- 988 (82.1%) responded as their “3rd” choice
- 121 (10.1%) responded as their “1st” choice
- 94 (7.8%) responded as their “2nd” choice

Question #7 – Part A Summary

“Please rank your preference for the Number of High Schools.”

Having two (2) 6A high schools with a capacity of approximately 3,000 students each:

- 628 (52.2%) responded as their “3rd” choice
- 323 (26.8%) responded as their “2nd” choice
- 252 (21%) responded as their “1st” choice

Question #7 – Part B Summary

“Please rank your preference for the Number of High Schools.”

Having two (2) 6A high schools with less than 3,000 students each and a third magnet school:

- 617 (51.3%) responded as their “2nd” choice
- 375 (31.2%) responded as their “1st” choice
- 211 (17.5%) responded as their “3rd” choice

Question #7 – Part C Summary

“Please rank your preference for the Number of High Schools.”

Having three (3) 5A high schools with a capacity of approximately 2,000 students each:

- 576 (47.9%) responded as their “1st” choice
- 364 (30.2%) responded as their “3rd” choice
- 263 (21.9%) responded as their “2nd” choice

Superintendent Moczygemba presented the Diagonal Study of Student Enrollment going back to 2000 that represents five cohorts he has tracked using snapshot (enrollment) data.

He gave an overview of the Building Needs based on current capacity for elementary, middle school and high schools and compared to snapshot enrollment from October 2016. He also showed if we were to grow by 2%, 2.5%, 3%, 3.5% and 4 % when we would reach capacity at each level based on current facilities.

He also showed the deficit campuses based on our build-out projections and deficit seats. These calculations are based on our current target enrollments and will be changed once the FPC makes their recommendations in the Long-range Facilities Plan.

He gave scenarios of the schools size and numbers based on the boundary line of IH-35. In the future the growth will more than likely be on the west side IH-35. In the future, the lines may have to move closer to Loop 337 based on the enrollment growth.

All of the information that was presented will be posted on the FCP website. The group was asked if they had enough information to formulate a recommendation for the high schools or not. If so, would a 2-hour session be sufficient to formulate the recommendation or could we possibly look at a full-day session to formulate the recommendation.

The committee asked for an extended meeting time for the June meeting to begin formulating the Long-Range Facilities plan for the High Schools. The group asked that the poll include a Saturday meeting time among the options.

Adjourned at 8:18 p.m.

Minutes prepared by: Rebecca Villarreal, NBISD Director of Communications