

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

New Braunfels Independent School District, Texas; School State Program

Primary Credit Analyst:

Jim Tchou, New York + 1 (212) 438 3821; jim.tchou@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Joshua Travis, Farmers Branch + 1 (972) 367 3340; joshua.travis@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rating Action

Stable Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

Summary:

New Braunfels Independent School District, Texas; School State Program

Credit Profile

US\$40.08 mil unlted tax sch bldg bnds ser 2021 dtd 01/15/2021 due 02/01/2044

Long Term Rating AAA/Stable New

Underlying Rating for Credit Program AA/Stable New

New Braunfels Indpt Sch Dist unlted tax rfdg bnds

Long Term Rating AA/Stable Affirmed

New Braunfels Indpt Sch Dist PSF/CRS

Long Term Rating AAA/Stable Current

Underlying Rating for Credit Program AA/Stable Affirmed

Rating Action

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AAA' program rating and 'AA' underlying rating (SPUR) for credit program and stable outlook to New Braunfels Independent School District, Texas' roughly \$40.08 million series 2021 unlimited-tax general obligation (GO) school building bonds and affirmed its 'AA' long-term rating and SPUR, with a stable outlook, on the district's existing GO debt.

The program rating reflects our view of the district's eligibility for, and participation in, the Texas Permanent School Fund bond guarantee program, which provides the security of a permanent fund of assets the district can use to meet debt service on program-guaranteed bonds.

The stable outlook on the program rating reflects our assessment of the Texas Permanent School Fund bond guarantee program's strength and liquidity. (For more information, see our analysis, titled "Texas Permanent School Fund," published June 25, 2020, on RatingsDirect.)

Revenue from an unlimited ad valorem property tax secures the bonds.

Officials intend to use series 2021 bond proceeds to construct and renovate school facilities. The series 2021 bonds will be the third and final issuance under an electorate-approved \$118.3 million authorization from November 2018.

Credit overview

Favorably located between the San Antonio and Austin metropolitan statistical areas, the district has been experiencing strong property tax base and enrollment growth, coupled with continuing economic expansion. While this growth has resulted in capital needs, supporting elevated debt, we note that costs are manageable and that the electorate has supported and authorized debt required to meet these needs. In our view, low fixed-cost pension obligations also counter high debt. Furthermore, the district has produced years of strong operating results, maintaining very strong reserves, supported by consistently increasing enrollment, which helps maintain stable state

revenue allocations.

While we acknowledge the district could potentially face challenges with a prolonged pandemic, we expect officials will likely make adequate budgetary adjustments to ensure budgetary balance. (For more information on COVID-19's effect on the U.S. public finance sector, see the articles, titled "Staying Home For The Holidays," published Dec. 2, 2020, and "Outlook For U.S. Local Governments: Revenue Pressures Mount And Choices Get Harder," published Jan. 6, 2021, on RatingsDirect.)

The SPUR reflects our opinion of the district's:

- Access to San Antonio's and Austin's broader economies,
- Strong wealth and income, and
- Very strong finances.

We believe what we consider the district's moderately high overall debt, with continued growth that will likely result in further debt issuance, somewhat offsets these strengths.

The stable outlook on the SPUR reflects S&P Global Ratings' opinion the district will likely maintain very strong finances despite continued growth-related capital needs.

Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors

Severe weather, including flooding, is the major environmental risk to the district, which we consider still in-line with the sector standard. We think sizable reserves and insurance coverage somewhat insulate operations from potential revenue-collection disruptions. We consider social risks in-line with the sector standard. The district's governance risks relative to its economy, management, financial measures, and debt-and-liability profile are also in-line with our view of the sector standard.

Stable Outlook

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if the district were to markedly reduce reserves.

Upside scenario

We could raise the rating if debt were to decrease substantially and if the district were to formalize financial management practices and policies, memorializing methods that have allowed it to sustain strong operating performance, which we consider in-line with higher-rated peers.

Credit Opinion

Economy

The district serves a population estimate of 57,444. In our opinion, median household and per capita effective buying incomes are strong at 122% but good at 108%, respectively, of national levels. The district's total \$6.8 billion market

value in fiscal 2021 is extremely strong, in our view, at \$118,634 per capita. Roughly 5.8% of net taxable assessed value (AV) comes from the 10 leading taxpayers, representing a very diverse tax base.

Located along the Interstate 35 corridor, nearly equidistant from Austin and San Antonio, the district primarily serves residents of New Braunfels and the surrounding area. The district's favorable location, with access to diverse employment centers, supports significant residential growth. This development has resulted in 77% cumulative AV growth since fiscal 2016 to \$6.8 billion from \$3.9 billion and 15.6% growth in fiscal 2021.

The local area also derives economic benefit from tourism and recreation with spring-fed rivers and a waterpark that are popular summertime destinations. While the tourism-and-recreation sector slowed during summer 2020 due to COVID-19, ongoing housing development helped support the local economy.

Officials report the Veramendi development on the district's central-west side will add more than 4,500 housing units with full buildout expected during the next 10 years-15 years. The development has broken ground with more than 100 homes built, coupled with an elementary school in the area. The district expects growth during the coming years will continue near historical rates, likely 8%-9% annually. We consider these projections prudent.

Finances

A wealth-equalization formula, based on property values and average daily attendance (property wealth per student), determines state funding for all school districts. Therefore, increases or decreases in average daily attendance (enrollment) can lead to corresponding movements in the amount of state revenue a district receives. Student enrollment totaled 9,253 on Oct. 30, 2020, a 1.3% decrease from the same point in 2019 due largely to COVID-19. Officials expect a 4% enrollment increase for fiscal school year 2021-2022 and about 2.5% annualized growth during the next five years.

For fiscal 2020, the district added \$10 million to fund balance and ended fiscal 2020 with unassigned fund balance of \$52.7 million, or 71% of estimated expenditures. Fiscal 2020 results were better than budgeted, which reflected a modest projected deficit of roughly \$470,000. However, the district typically budgets conservatively, assuming limited enrollment growth. Since the district began fiscal 2020 with increased enrollment, it hired the staff needed to serve the new students, allowing officials to ensure increased expenses did not outpace revenue growth due to increased enrollment.

The fiscal 2021 budget reflects the use of \$529,000 in reserves. However, due to the district's history of outperforming the budget, we posit it will likely maintain very strong finances with reserves remaining more than 30% of expenditures. The district has historically realized strong financial performance, conservatively budgeting enrollment and consistently realizing favorable budget-to-actual expenditure results.

Management

We consider the district's financial management practices standard under our Financial Management Assessment methodology, indicating the finance department maintains adequate policies in some, but not all, key areas.

While the district lacks a formal general fund reserve policy, it informally maintains 18% of operations in reserve, which it has historically exceeded. Management uses comprehensive revenue and expenditure assumptions based on historical trend analysis and demographic data. School board members discuss the budget with staff monthly, and they

have annual planning workshops to prepare for the coming year.

Management monitors the formal investment-management policy and makes quarterly performance-and-holdings reports to the board; the board also reviews the policy annually. While the district lacks formal long-term financial plans, it contracts with a demographer to help monitor growth and plan for future school sites. The district lacks formal debt-management policies.

Debt

We consider overall net debt moderately high at 6.5% of market value, or high at \$7,702 per capita. Amortization is below average with officials planning to retire 44% of direct debt during 10 years. The debt service carrying charge was 18% of total governmental-fund expenditures, excluding capital outlay, which we consider elevated, in fiscal 2020.

Following this issuance, the district will have about \$265 million of debt outstanding. Due to continued enrollment growth, management expects to approach the electorate for another GO bond authorization in November 2021. Although the amount is still under discussion, officials do not expect the need for a tax increase to support additional debt. Therefore, we think debt will likely remain high and possibly increase during the next few fiscal years, pending referendum results.

We note the district privately placed series 2016 unlimited-tax school building qualified school construction bonds. However, due to a lack of acceleration rights or permissive provisions, coupled with a fixed-interest rate, we do not think the bonds pose a liquidity risk or otherwise have a negative effect on the debt profile.

Pension and other-postemployment-benefit (OPEB) highlights

- We do not view pension and OPEB liabilities as an immediate credit pressure for the district because required contributions account for a small portion of total governmental expenditures and should not materially increase during the next few fiscal years.
- Under a special-funding situation, the state contributes a sizable share of employer contributions and carries responsibility for a proportionate share of unfunded liabilities.

As of June 30, 2020, the district participates in:

- Texas Teachers' Retirement System (TTRS), which was 75.2% funded, with a proportional share of the net pension liability equal to \$23.5 million; and
- Texas Public School Retired Employees' Group Insurance program (TTRS-Care), providing health insurance to members of TTRS, which was 2.7% funded, with a proportionate share of the district's net OPEB liability at \$28.4 million.

The district paid its full required contribution of \$1.7 million toward pension obligations in fiscal 2020, or 1.2% of total governmental expenditures. The district also paid \$444,000, or 0.3% of total governmental expenditures, toward OPEB obligations in fiscal 2020. The combined pension and OPEB carrying charge totaled 1.5% of total governmental-fund expenditures in fiscal 2020.

Both TTRS and TTRS-Care are cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined-benefit plans. The state typically makes the bulk of contributions to these plans on the district's behalf. We do not expect retiree costs to increase substantially

during the next few fiscal years.

Related Research

- Alternative Financing: Disclosure Is Critical To Credit Analysis In Public Finance, Feb. 18, 2014
- Criteria Guidance: Assessing U.S. Public Finance Pension And Other Postemployment Obligations For GO Debt, Local Government GO Ratings, And State Ratings, Oct. 7, 2019
- Through The ESG Lens 2.0: A Deeper Dive Into U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, April 28, 2020

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.